

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF CUnited States Patent and Trademark Of Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 3378 202-1149 Brien Lloyd Fulton 06/26/2003 10/604,113 EXAMINER 06/21/2004 33066 7590 HOANG, JOHNNY H RICHARD M. SHARKANSKY PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **PO BOX 557** MASHPEE, MA 02649 3747

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/604,113	FULTON, BRIEN LLOYD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Johnny H. Hoang	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. The reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 June 2003.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 26, 2003</u>. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graves, Jr. (US 5,445,126).

Regarding claim 1, the reference of Graves, Jr. discloses a control system which control fuel delivery to a throttle controlled-engine including the following subject matters:

a signal generator (26) generating an unmodified fuel demand signal based on an accelerator pedal position (col. 4, lines 24-34); and

a controller (10) for producing a modified fuel demand signal based on said unmodified fuel demand signal and a bias value, said bias value being a predetermined offset from a base fuel demand signal, said base fuel demand signal being sufficient to maintain the engine in an idle condition, said controller delivering an amount of fuel to said engine based on a greater of said modified fuel demand signal and said base fuel demand signal (see abstract, col. 4, line 54 through col. 5, line 33, and col. 7, lines 10-55).

Regarding claim 3, as discussed in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/604,113

obstacle to the operation of the fuel pump.

Art Unit: 3747

Page 3

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graves, Jr. in view of Takeuchi et al. (US 5,313,923). The reference of Graves, Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for the controller is a semiconductor chip. The reference of Takeuchi et al discloses the semiconductor device which controls to deenergized the fuel pump (col. 2, lines 9-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the semiconductor being operating as a controller to prevent any
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graves, Jr. The reference of Graves, Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for providing a first, second and third fuel demand signals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the electronic accelerator pedal (26) producing the multiple of the fueling levels for the engine (as above discussions), since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graves, Jr. in view of Paielli. The reference of Graves, Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for a computer storage medium having a program encoded therein for controlling fuel delivery to an engine, the reference of Paielli discloses the program execution as it relates to the non-disruptive operation of fuel delivery while programming one or more fuel delivery maps or tables (see Figs. 26, 27A, and 27B; and col. 11, lines 38-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a computer storage medium having a computer program as suggested by Palielli into the system of Graves, Jr. for the purpose of keeping the operation at the steady state.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,113

Art Unit: 3747

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The copies of U.S Patent are provided.

Fleischer (US 4,120,373), and Stocker et al (US 4,660,519).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 308-2782. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH

June 17, 2004

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Page 4

Primary Examiner Art UNITSTYS